MIPAS Level 2 Near Real Time processor performances

Piera Raspollini & the ORM team

ORM team

- B. Carli, S. Ceccherini, M. Prosperi and P. Raspollini

  IFAC-CNR - Italy
- M. Carlotti, L. Magnani, M. Ridolfi -University of Bologna - Italy
- D. Alpaslan, E. Castelli, B. M. Dinelli - ISAC-CNR - Italy
- M. Hopfner, H. Oelhaf - IMK - Germany
- A. Burgess, A. Dudhia, V. Payne, C. Piccolo - University of Oxford - U.K.
- J. Remedios, R. Spang - University of Leicester - U.K.
- J.-M. Flaud - LPPM - France

Optimised Retrieval Model (ORM)

➢Scientific code for the **near real time** Level 2 analysis of MIPAS measurements (basis of the code implemented in the ENVISAT Ground Segment)

➢Starting from the calibrated and geolocated spectra of each scan, ORM retrieves vertical profiles of:

- **Tangent altitude correction** and **temperature** (p,T retrieval)
- VMR of minor constituents (**H_2O**, **O_3**, **HNO_3**, **CH_4**, **N_2O** and **NO_2**)

Outline

- Latest improvements
  - Code improvements
    - ✓cloud filtering
  - Improvement in auxiliary data:
    - ✓New spectroscopic database
    - ✓Retrievals on the whole MIPAS measurement range (6-68 km)
    - ✓New convergence criteria
    - ✓ILS correction
- Examples of results: Antarctic ozone hole (2002 vs 2003)
- Concluding remarks

Code improvements

Cloud filtering

•A cloud detection algorithm has been implemented in MIPAS Level 2 pre-processor that detects the presence of clouds in the line of sights and excludes from the analysis the sweeps that are affected by clouds.

Code improvements

Cloud filtering



Operative since 23 July 2003

New spectroscopic database

- Version 3.0 of MIPAS spectroscopic database has been released
- Main modifications in HNO_3
- In use since 23 July 2003

ILS correction

An error in the computation of the ILS has been found. A code modification in Level 2 pre-processor is needed, but a short term solution involving only the auxiliary data has been successfully tested.

Change in retrieved tangent altitude



$\mathrm{Ln}\,(P_{before}/P_{after})$

$$\Delta z[\mathrm{km}] = 7.3\,\Delta \ln P$$

The error in the ILS introduced a negative bias in the determination of the tangent altitude of the order of 50-100 metres.

Extension of the retrieval range

✓Retrieved profiles are characterised by large systematic errors at the boundaries of the retrieval range due to the wrong assumption of the profile outside the retrieval range (h2o at both low and high altitudes, CH4 and N2O at low altitudes, NO2 at high altitudes are mostly affected).

✓Exploitation of full altitude range for all species allows to reduce this error (provided that instabilities are not introduced).

	Nominal range	Extended range
PT	12 - 68 km	6 – 68 km
H2O	12 – 60 km	6 – 68 km
O3	12 – 60 km	6 – 68 km
HNO3	12 – 42 km	9 – 42 km
CH4	12 – 60 km	6 – 68 km
N2O	12 – 47 km	6 – 60 km
NO2	24 – 47 km	24 – 68 km

Extension of the retrieval range

- Extension of the retrieval range improves the quality of the products in the nominal range, but does not extend the useful range.
- The extension of the retrieval range leads to an increase in computing time of the order of 50%

Convergence criteria

- Retrieved profiles are affected by a systematic error due to the fact that the 'real convergence' is not reached (convergence error).
- With the current convergence criteria the convergence error is estimated to be 0.7-1.5 times the random error
- This error can be reduced using more stringent convergence criteria
- New thresholds for the convergence criteria have been optimised, that allow to reduce the convergence error to about 0.3-0.6 times the random error
- The new convergence criteria require about 35 % of extra computing time.

Example of results
ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE
2002 vs 2003: Temperature

2994

26th September 2002



8225

26th September 2003



Example of results
ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE
2002 vs 2003: O_3

2994

26th September 2002



8225

26th September 2003



Example of results: ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE 2002 vs 2003: HNO_3

2994

26th September 2002



8225

26th September 2003



Example of MIPAS products
ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE
2002 vs 2003 : CH_4

2994

26th September 2002



8225

26th September 2003



Example of results: ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE 2002 vs 2003: N_2O

2994

26th September 2002



8225

26th September 2003



Example of results
ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE
2002 vs 2003 : $ClONO_2$

2994

26th September 2002

8225

26th September 2003





Non-target species

Example of results
ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE
2002 vs 2003 : N_2O_5

2994

26th September 2002



8225

26th September 2003



Non-target species

Concluding remarks

•Continuous monitoring of MIPAS products has been performing

•Investigations for improving the quality of MIPAS products are on-going

•A full validation of MIPAS products is in progress

•MIPAS provides a very complete and detailed description of the atmosphere that allows, for example, to visualise very well the differences of the Antarctic ozone hole in 2002 and 2003.

•Other species, other than the target species, can be retrieved from MIPAS measurements.

ORM team activity on the Web

MIPAS ESA official page: envisat.esa.int/instruments/mipas/

IFAC: www.ifac.cnr.it/retrieval/Mipas.htm

University of Bologna: www2.fci.unibo.it/~ridolfi/

ISAC: www.isac.cnr.it/~rss/

University of Oxford: www-atm.physics.ox.ac.uk/group/mipas/oxford.html

IMK: www-imk.fzk.de/asf/ame/

University of Leicester: www.leos.le.ac.uk/mipas/main.html